	Telephone: (510) 238-6520, Fax: (510) 238-26139:517341	121266	
6	Attorneys for Defendants, CITY OF OAKLAND, SGT. PATRICK GONZALES, and CHIEF OF POLICE WAYNE TUCKER		
7	and office of Focioe within Fociality		
8	UNITED STATES [DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC		
10	OAKLAND DIVISION		
11			
12		Case No. C 08-02394 SBA	
13	HIS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST ASHANTE SIMPSON ON BEHALF OF BABY KING;	DEENDANTS' ANSWER TO SECOND	
14 15	GARY KING, SR., Individually, CATHERINE KING, Individually, and ASHANTE SIMPSON as Next Friend on behalf of BABY KING, Individually,	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
16	Plaintiffs,		
17	V.		
18	CITY OF OAKLAND, a public entity POLICE		
19	SERGEANT PATRICK GONZALES, Individually, CHIEF OF POLICE WAYNE		
20	TUCKER, individually and in his official capacity, and DOES 1 through 10, Jointly		
21	and Severally,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			

1 | 2 | O | 3 | C

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, SERGEANT PATRICK GONZALES AND CHIEF OF POLICE WAYNE TUCKER hereby answer, object, and otherwise respond to the Complaint on file herein as follows.

JURISDICTION

1. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

2. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.

PARTIES AND PROCEDURE

- 3. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 4. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 5. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 6. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
 - 7. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
 - 8. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph.

26

26 Gary King, Jr., hitting him, and that Defendant Gonzales handcuffed Gary King, Jr.

Defendants admit that Defendant Gonzales drew his gun, fired 2 shots at

19.

25

1	Defendants of	deny the remaining allegations set forth in this paragraph.
2	20.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
3	21.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
4	22.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
5	23.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
6	24.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
7	25.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
8	26.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
9	27.	Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
10	as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every	
11	allegation contained herein.	
12		COUNT ONE
13	42 USC §1983 ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS GONZALES AND DOES 1-10	
14	28.	Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in
15	paragraphs '	1 through 27, as previously set forth.
16	29.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
17	30.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
18	31.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
19	32.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
20	33.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
21		COUNT TWO
22	ALL DLAIN	42 USC §1983 NTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS CITY OF OAKLAND, CHIEF OF POLICE
23	ALL PLAII	WAYNE TUCKER, AND DOES 1-10
24	34.	Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in
25	paragraphs '	1 through 33, as previously set forth.
26	35	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph

1	36.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
2	37.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
3	38.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
4	39.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
5	40.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
6		COUNT THREE
7		VIOLATION OF CIVIL CODE §52.1 PLAINTIFF BABY KING AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS
8	41.	Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in
9	paragraphs 1	through 40, as previously set forth.
10	42.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
1	43.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
12		COUNT FOUR
13		NEGLIGENCE; PERSONAL INJURIES PLAINTIFF BABY KING AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS
14	44.	Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in
15	paragraphs 1	through 43, as previously set forth.
16	45.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
17	46.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
8	47.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
9	48.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
20	49.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
21	50.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
22		COUNT FIVE
23		ASSAULT AND BATTERY PLAINTIFF BABY KING AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS
24	51.	Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in
25	paragraphs 1	through 50, as previously set forth.
26	52.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.

1	53.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.	
2		COUNT SIX VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §51.7 PLAINTIFF BABY KING AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS	
4	54.	Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in	
5	paragraphs 1 through 53, as previously set forth.		
6	55.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.	
7	56.	Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.	
8	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
9		I.	
10	AS A	FURTHER, FIRST, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants	
11	allege that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.		
12	II.		
13	AS A FURTHER, SECOND, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
14	defendants allege, on information and belief, that plaintiffs' decedent did not exercise		
15	ordinary care, caution, or prudence to avoid the alleged event and/or accident;		
16	consequently, the subsequent injuries or damages, if any, claimed were proximately		
17	caused by and contributed to by plaintiffs' decedent's comparative negligence, and any		
18	damages they might otherwise be entitled to should be proportionately reduced by the		
19	degree of that negligence.		
20		III.	
21	AS A FURTHER, THIRD, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants		
22	allege that the injuries and damages plaintiffs complain of resulted from the acts and/or		
23	omissions of others, or acts of God, and without any fault on the part of defendants.		
24	IV.		
25	AS A FURTHER, FOURTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
26	defendants a	allege that any party or individual who contributed to and/or caused the alleged	

2

4 5

3

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

15

14

1617

18

19 20

21

23

22

25

24

26

injuries and damages was not acting as its agent or with its knowledge or within the course and/or scope of employment with defendant CITY OF OAKLAND.

٧.

AS A FURTHER, FIFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that, to the extent that plaintiffs may attempt to allege state claims, these are barred by all applicable Government Code protections and immunities, including, but not limited to, sections 815 through 900. Said sections are pleaded as though fully set forth herein.

VI.

AS A FURTHER, SIXTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that all of the actions of defendants were undertaken in good faith and with the reasonable belief that such actions were valid, necessary, reasonable, lawful and constitutionally proper, entitling defendants to the qualified immunity of good faith.

VII.

AS A FURTHER, SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that, if they in any fashion caused the injuries or damages alleged, although such liability is expressly denied herein, their acts and/or omissions were reasonable and privileged.

VIII.

AS A FURTHER, EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege, on information and belief, that plaintiffs failed to mitigate damages.

IX.

AS A FURTHER, NINTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that, to the extent that plaintiffs allege or assert matters not contained in a legally sufficient claim filed by them, this action is barred by the claims requirements set forth in Government Code Section 905 et seq.

1	1	X.
2	AS A	A FURTHER, TENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants
3	allege that	this action is barred, under Government Code § 945.6, by plaintiffs' failure to
4	file their ac	tion within six months after denial of their claim by defendants.
5	XI.	
6	AS A FURTHER, ELEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,	
7	defendants allege that this action is barred by all applicable statutes of limitations.	
8	XII.	
9	AS A FURTHER, TWELFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,	
10	defendants allege that this action is barred by the doctrine of primary assumption of the	
11	risk.	
12		XIII.
13	AS A	A FURTHER, THIRTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,
14	defendants allege that plaintiffs lack standing to bring the claims alleged.	
15	<u>PRAYER</u>	
16	WHI	EREFORE, defendants pray that:
17	1.	Plaintiffs take nothing by his Complaint;
18	2.	Defendants have judgment against Plaintiffs;
19	3.	Defendants be awarded their costs of suit; and
20	4.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.
21		
22	Dated: August 25, 2008	
23		JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Assistant City Attorney
24		WILLIAM E. SIMMONS, Supervising Trial Attorney
25		By: /s/William E. Simmons
26		Attorneys for Defendants, CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.